



Claim form

Claim reference: 1771-4475-8367-4179

Claim number: 799MC796



Received on: 25 February 2026 at 12:49 PM

Issued on: 25 Feb 2026

1. Claimant details

Name

Address

Date of birth

Telephone number

Email address

2. Defendant details

Name

Mohanad Mohanad Taher Fadhil Al Bayati

Address

Date of birth

Telephone number

Email address

3. Claim

Reason for claim

Particulars of Claim

1. The Claimant is a private individual. The Defendant is an individual known to the Claimant as Mohanad Bayati, who advertised and sold vehicle parts via an eBay listing.

2. On or about 21 January 2026, the Claimant agreed to purchase a vehicle headlight described in eBay listing item number 116926273495 as a "Range Rover Evoque L538 Passenger Side Xenon Headlight," which the Defendant represented as functional and compatible.

3. During communications relating to the purchase, the Defendant requested that payment be made outside eBay via bank transfer. The Claimant relied upon the Defendant's representations and, on 21 January 2026, paid the sum of £230 by bank transfer to an account in the name of Mohanad Bayati. The Claimant collected the item in person from the Defendant on the same date at the Defendant's residential address.

4. On 22 January 2026, when the Claimant attempted to install the headlight at a garage, it was found to be incompatible with the Claimant's vehicle and non-functional, contrary to the Defendant's representations and the listing description.

5. The Claimant immediately notified the Defendant by telephone and message on 22 January 2026. The Defendant acknowledged the issue and agreed that the Claimant could return the item for a full refund. The Defendant instructed the Claimant to contact him in the evenings after 8pm to arrange the return.

6. In the days that followed, the Claimant made repeated attempts to contact the Defendant by telephone and message to arrange the return and refund. The Defendant failed to cooperate and imposed unreasonable conditions, including requiring the Claimant to meet him at a different location more than 30 miles away at postcode KT16 0EW, despite the item having originally been collected from the Defendant's residential address. The Defendant stated he would not confirm in advance when he would be present at this alternative location and subsequently ceased responding to communications altogether.

7. Despite multiple attempts by the Claimant to resolve the matter amicably, the Defendant has refused and/or failed to provide any refund.

8. The Defendant's conduct constitutes:

a. Misrepresentation, in that the Defendant made false statements that the item was functional and compatible, which induced the Claimant to enter into the contract.

b. Breach of contract, in that the goods supplied did not correspond with their description and the Defendant failed to honour an agreed refund.

c. Sale by description, in that the goods did not match the description provided.

d. Unjust enrichment, in that the Defendant has retained the Claimant's payment of £230 without lawful basis after supplying goods that were not as represented and refusing to provide a refund despite agreeing to do so.

9. As a result, the Claimant has suffered loss and damage in the sum of £230.

AND the Claimant claims:

a. £230 being the purchase price paid;

b. Court fees;

c. Interest pursuant to section 69 of the County Courts Act 1984 at such rate and for such period as the Court deems appropriate.

Timeline of events

21 January 2026

the Claimant purchased a vehicle headlight from the Defendant for £230 following an eBay listing (item number 116926273495) in which the Defendant described the item as functional and compatible. At the Defendant's request, payment was made outside eBay by bank transfer to an account in the name of Mohanad Bayati, and the

	item was collected in person from the Defendant's residential address on the same date.
22 January 2026	, when the Claimant attempted installation at a garage, the headlight was found to be incompatible and non-functional, contrary to the Defendant's representations. The Claimant immediately notified the Defendant, who acknowledged the issue and agreed that the item could be returned for a full refund.
26 January 2026	Messaged seller with no response
27 January 2026	Messaged seller with no response
29 January 2026	Messaged seller with no response
2 February 2026	Seller replied and gave vague response as to when he would be at the 'yard' location in Chertsey, even though purchase was completed locally 5 minutes from where the seller and buyer live
5 February 2026	Buyer attends Chertsey location, no sign of anyone there.
10 February 2026	Buyer informs seller of pre-action protocol
Evidence type	
Letters, emails and other correspondence	Text correspondence
Photo Evidence	Ebay message and listings
Statement of account	Invoice and bank statement showing revolut payment
Photo Evidence	Picture of the Headlight
4. Claim amount details	
Claim amount items	
Headlight	£250.00
Travel costs	£35.00


Total interest	£0.42
How interest was calculated	Same rate for whole period of time
Interest end date	Interest will accrue at the daily rate of £0.06 up to the date of judgment or settlement
Interest rate claimed	8%
Explanation of rate claimed	The claimant reserves the right to claim interest under Section 69 of the County Courts Act 1984
Date interest claimed from	18 February 2026
Explanation of date interest is claimed from	From the date the claim was submitted

5. Total amount

Claim amount	£285.00
Total interested claim to date of submission	£0.42
Claim fee	£0
Total	£285.42

6. Statement of truth

I believe that the facts stated in this claim form are true. I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.


25 February 2026